



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Ed Pusch to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:13 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Ed Pusch <puschpalace@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Ed Pusch <puschpalace@yahoo.com>

Follow Up: Normal Priority.

History: This message has been forwarded.

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.


The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you

must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ed Pusch
9534 Ruggles St
Omaha, NE 68134-3831



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Khristine Robinson to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:15 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Khristine Robinson <seafoamgreen_225@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Khristine Robinson <seafoamgreen_225@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ms. Khristine Robinson
16126 Mormon St
Bennington, NE 68007-5567



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Patricia Tetreault to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:15 PM

From: Patricia Tetreault <ptetreault1@unl.edu>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Patricia Tetreault <ptetreault1@unl.edu>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dr. Patricia Tetreault
6501 X St
Lincoln, NE 68505-2247



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Frank Tierney to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:15 PM

From: Frank Tierney <ftierney@msn.com>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Frank Tierney <ftierney@msn.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Tierney
PO Box 12065
Omaha, NE 68112-0065
(402) 455-8586



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Shirley Stoneburg to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:15 PM

From: Shirley Stoneburg <vkreman@btinternet.com>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Shirley Stoneburg <vkreman@btinternet.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shirley Stoneburg
8 Peascroft House
186 Willesden Lane
London, NE 68355
(208) 459-2369



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Carl Becker to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:16 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Carl Becker <cgbeck77@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Carl Becker <cgbeck77@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Carl Becker
110 E Center St # 1460
Madison, SD 57042-2908
(501) 658-3719



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Rick Wigington to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:17 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Rick Wigington <blancmange68503@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Rick Wigington <blancmange68503@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Wigington
4241 N 61st St
Apt 513
Lincoln, NE 68507-1237



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Ron Ragsdale | to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:17 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Ron Ragsdale <ron@cattletech.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Ron Ragsdale <ron@cattletech.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron Ragsdale
613 Main St
Rapid City, SD 57701-2735



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Tracy Vrba to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:18 PM

From: Tracy Vrba <tmv_catlover@hotmail.com>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Tracy Vrba <tmv_catlover@hotmail.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Miss Tracy Vrba
3205 Mueller Dr
Columbus, NE 68601-2446
(402) 615-1369



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Ruthann Lees to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:18 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Ruthann Lees <ruthielees@cox.net>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Ruthann Lees <ruthielees@cox.net>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruthann Lees
9041 Raven Oaks Dr
Omaha, NE 68152-1758



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Jody Fox to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:18 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Jody Fox <foxjod@gmail.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Jody Fox <foxjod@gmail.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jody Fox
2722 Sunny Brooke Rd
Grand Island, NE 68801-6873



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Sharon Loudon-Altobelli to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:20 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Sharon Loudon-Altobelli <sharonloud19602003@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Sharon Loudon-Altobelli <sharonloud19602003@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Loudon-Altobelli
4221 Franklin St
Lincoln, NE 68506-1139



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Margaret Knuth Sudik to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:20 PM

From: Margaret Knuth Sudik <marnieknuth@hotmail.com>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Margaret Knuth Sudik <marnieknuth@hotmail.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Knuth Sudik
1579 County Rd W
Cedar Bluffs, NE 68015-3231



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Craig Alberhasky to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:20 PM

From: Craig Alberhasky <c.alberhasky@cox.net>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Craig Alberhasky <c.alberhasky@cox.net>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Alberhasky
8031 State St
Ralston, NE 68127-3753
(402) 331-4495



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Heidi Ludwick To: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:20 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Heidi Ludwick <heidichamquist@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Heidi Ludwick <heidichamquist@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Heidi Ludwick
1009 S Madison St
Papillion, NE 68046-2541
(402) 208-3244



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Arden Goering to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:21 PM

From: Arden Goering <a.goering@sio.midco.net>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Arden Goering <a.goering@sio.midco.net>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Arden Goering
3305 E 33rd St
Sioux Falls, SD 57103-4317
(605) 336-8613



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Richard Snook to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:21 PM

From: Richard Snook <rjosnook@yahoo.com>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Richard Snook <rjosnook@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Snook
4311 S 60th St
Lincoln, NE 68516-1539



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Benjamin Pederson to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:21 PM

From: Benjamin Pederson <benjaminpederson@yahoo.com>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Benjamin Pederson <benjaminpederson@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Benjamin Pederson
422 D St
Lincoln, NE 68502-1052



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Jeff Crane to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:21 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Jeff Crane <jcrane@neb.rr.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Jeff Crane <jcrane@neb.rr.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Crane
8335 Karl Ridge Rd Apt 423
Lincoln, NE 68506-4189



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Vincent Gormley to: Chris Wolfersberger
National Parks Conservation Association
Sent by: <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:21 PM

From: Vincent Gormley <vinnygormley@gmail.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Vincent Gormley <vinnygormley@gmail.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Vincent Gormley
835 S Main Ave
Sioux Falls, SD 57104-4921



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Sylvia Oothoudt to: Chris Wolfersberger
National Parks Conservation Association
Sent by: <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:22 PM

From: Sylvia Oothoudt <syl21mclik@aol.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Sylvia Oothoudt <syl21mclik@aol.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sylvia Oothoudt
21 Northshore Dr
McCook Lake, SD 57049-4013



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Eric Kritzmire to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 01:31 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Eric Kritzmire <etkritz@sio.midco.net>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Eric Kritzmire <etkritz@sio.midco.net>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Kritzmire
6813 S Avalon Cir
Sioux Falls, SD 57108-4000



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Lisa Groenewold to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:31 PM

From: Lisa Groenewold <lgroenewold@sio.midco.net>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Lisa Groenewold <lgroenewold@sio.midco.net>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Groenewold
5017 E Charleston Dr
Sioux Falls, SD 57110-5782



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Aaron Gayken To: Chris Wolfersberger
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 01:31 PM

From: Aaron Gayken <agayken75@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Aaron Gayken <agayken75@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Aaron Gayken
310 W 21st St Apt 9
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-0763



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Margery Coffey to: Chris Wolfersberger
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
 <takeaction@npca.org>

03/27/2012 02:26 PM

From: Margery Coffey <margerycoffey@yahoo.com>
 To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
 Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
 Please respond to Margery Coffey <margerycoffey@yahoo.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
 Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
 Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dr. Margery Coffey
PO Box 279
Rosalie, NE 68055-0279



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Michelle Mullen to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 02:35 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Michelle Mullen <mmullen@unlnotes.unl.edu>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Michelle Mullen <mmullen@unlnotes.unl.edu>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Mullen
2605 Piccadilly Ct
Lincoln, NE 68512-1436



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Lisa Hoffman to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/27/2012 02:39 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Lisa Hoffman <lishoffman@nebraskamed.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Lisa Hoffman <lishoffman@nebraskamed.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.


The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

I am a resident of Nebraska, so if Nebraska needs to clean up its act, then make them! I am embarrassed to be living in a state that doesn't care about air quality in neighboring parks.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lisa Hoffman
401 Martin Dr
Bellevue, NE 68005-4728



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Tim Kniep to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/30/2012 01:36 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Tim Kniep <kniept@aol.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Tim Kniep <kniept@aol.com>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Kniep
304 S Conklin Ave Apt 10
Sioux Falls, SD 57103-1952



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Diane Trullinger to: Chris Wolfersberger

03/30/2012 06:27 PM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Diane Trullinger <mrmst@cozadtel.net>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Diane Trullinger <mrmst@cozadtel.net>

Mar 27, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diane Trullinger
76064 Road 414
Gothenburg, NE 69138-4143
(308) 537-3213



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Laurie Zumwalt to: Chris Wolfersberger

04/03/2012 11:29 AM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Laurie Zumwalt <krzumwalt@nebnet.net>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Laurie Zumwalt <krzumwalt@nebnet.net>

Mar 31, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laurie Zumwalt
23074 S Brady Moorefield Rd
Brady, NE 69123-3029
(308) 584-3867



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Effie Goodall to: Chris Wolfersberger

04/03/2012 11:32 AM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Effie Goodall <eswinkelmann@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Effie Goodall <eswinkelmann@yahoo.com>

Mar 31, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Effie Goodall
5002 Spencer St
Omaha, NE 68104-3565



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Andy Johnson cc: Chris Wolfersberger

04/03/2012 11:39 AM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Andy Johnson <andysjunkmail@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Andy Johnson <andysjunkmail@yahoo.com>

Apr 1, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dr. Andy Johnson
610 Nellie Ln
Spearfish, SD 57783-1191



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Darold Borman to: Chris Wolfersberger

04/03/2012 11:41 AM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Darold Borman <dborman@att.net>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Darold Borman <dborman@att.net>

Apr 1, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.


Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Darold Borman
1508 N Oak Ridge Pl
Sioux Falls, SD 57110-7454



Don't Exempt Nebraska Park-Polluters from Emission Controls (Docket No. EPA-R07-OAR-2012-0158, FRL-9639-6)

Al Martin to: Chris Wolfersberger

04/03/2012 11:45 AM

Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association
<takeaction@npca.org>

From: Al Martin <oneofthought05@yahoo.com>
To: Chris Wolfersberger/R7/USEPA/US@EPA
Sent by: National Parks Conservation Association <takeaction@npca.org>
Please respond to Al Martin <oneofthought05@yahoo.com>

Apr 1, 2012

Administrator Karl Brooks
Environmental Protection Agency, 901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Administrator Brooks,

I urge you to require Nebraska's oldest and dirtiest power plants to significantly clean up in order to clear the haze in Badlands and Wind Cave National Parks and other iconic public places. Unfortunately, EPA's air plan for Nebraska fails to require some industrial facilities to clean up pollution that degrades the air quality at Badlands and other public lands. At this rate, Badlands and nearby Wind Cave National Park won't have clean and clear air for more than two centuries, which is more than 150 years after the congressional goal of returning the air in our wildest public lands back to its natural state. Please require park polluters to install available, cost effective pollution controls, and don't allow them to continue mucking up the air.

As a national park visitor and advocate for the parks, I value these national treasures. The state's oldest, biggest-polluting power plants are being given a pass. For the Gerald Gentleman power plant, which by itself significantly degrades the air quality at Badlands, EPA states that modern controls are both reasonable and cost effective. However, remarkably, instead of requiring these common pollution controls, EPA proposes to exempt the plant from meaningful pollution reductions. Given that this one source alone impacts numerous public lands--Badlands, Wind Cave, and Rocky Mountain National Parks, and the neighboring communities in between--you must require these effective, budget-conscious reductions. Likewise, efficient, modern controls for the Nebraska City power plant are cost effective and would adequately limit pollution from this plant to effectively address haze.

Reduced views and compromised air quality are problems that Congress sought to fix by requiring the best air quality protection for these treasured lands. We must fix these problems in order to meet this goal.

The EPA cannot let Nebraska power plants escape pollution controls; you must require an adequate Nebraska haze plan that would help clean up the air in our national parks, and throughout the region, for present and future generations.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Al Martin
310 Granville Ave
Beatrice, NE 68310-5044